10/769,692 SPENCER ET AL Examiner	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
All Participants: (1) Shawn Gu (2) Philip Lyren [Rea #: 40,709]. (4) Date of Interview: 6 September 2006 Time: 12:15 PM Type of Interview: 6 September 2006 Type of Interview: 6 Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 1, 8, 24 and 27 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was reach to further amend the claims in order place the application in condition of allowance. The amendment corrects an obvious (prographical error in claim 1. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		10/769,692	SPENCER ET AL.
All Participants: (1) Shawn Gu (2) Philip Lyren IReq #: 40.709]: (4) Date of Interview: 6 September 2006 Time: 12:15 PM Type of Interview: George		Examiner	Art Unit
(2) Philip Lyren [Reg #: 40.709]. (2) Philip Lyren [Reg #: 40.709]. (3)		Shawn Gu	2189
California Cal	All Participants:	Status of Application:	
Date of Interview: 6 September 2006 Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 112(2) and 103(a) Claims discussed: 1, 8, 24 and 27 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was reach to further amend the claims in order place the application in condition of allowance. The amendment corrects an obivous typographical error in claim 1. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directive win the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(1) Shawn Gu.	(3)	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 112(2) and 103(a) Claims discussed: 1, 8, 24 and 27 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was reach to further amend the claims in order place the application in condition of allowance. The amendment corrects an obivous typographical error in claim 1. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(2) Philip Lyren [Reg #: 40,709].	(4)	
Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: 6 September 2006	Time: <u>12:15 PM</u>	
Rejection(s) discussed: 112(2) and 103(a) Claims discussed: 1, 8, 24 and 27 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was reach to further amend the claims in order place the application in condition of allowance. The amendment corrects an obivous typographical error in claim 1. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No 	ant's representative)	
Claims discussed: 1, 8, 24 and 27 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was reach to further amend the claims in order place the application in condition of allowance. The amendment corrects an obivous typographical error in claim 1. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was reach to further amend the claims in order place the application in condition of allowance. The amendment corrects an obivous typographical error in claim 1. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	• • • •		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was reach to further amend the claims in order place the application in condition of allowance. The amendment corrects an obivous typographical error in claim 1. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Agreement was reach to further amend the claims in order place the application in condition of allowance. The amendment corrects an obivous typographical error in claim 1. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Prior art documents discussed:		
Agreement was reach to further amend the claims in order place the application in condition of allowance. The amendment corrects an obivous typographical error in claim 1. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Agreement was reach to further amend the claims in order place		
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part III.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	e examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)